

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,008	04/18/2001	Terry E. Flach	VITLCOM.30DC1D	1072	
JOSEPH D. 7590 044022008 JOSEPH D. KUBORN ANDRUE, SCEALES, STARKE,&SAWALL 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TSEGAY	TSEGAYE, SABA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2009	DADED DADED	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/837,008 FLACH ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SABA TSEGAYE 2619 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 41.42.45.46.57-68 and 70-90 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 41, 42, 45, 46, 57-68 and 70-90 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/Sb/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

51 Notice of Informal Patent Application.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,008 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/26/08 has been entered.
- Claims 41, 42, 45, 46, 57-68 and 70-90 are pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 41, 42, 46, 57, 60-66, 70 and 72-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkamaki et al. (US 5,483,668) in view of Padovani et al. (US 6,222,830 B1) and Unger (US 5,458,123).

Regarding claims 41, 60, 61, 65, 73 and 74, Malkamaki discloses a communications system which supports the mobility of wireless communications devices throughout a building (a cellular radio system comprising a first cell and a second cell), comprising:

at least one centralized computer (MSC see fig. 7);

a plurality of RF transceivers (BTS1, BTS2) connected to the at least one centralized computer (MSC), the RF transceivers distributed throughout the building such that different transceivers provide coverage for different regions (1st cell and 2nd cell) of the building, at least

Art Unit: 2619

some of the RF transceivers (BTS1, BTS2) of the plurality transmitting and receiving data on different RF channels (column 7, lines 29-31); and

a plurality of wireless communications devices (MS) which communicate bi directionally with the at least one centralized computer (MSC) via the plurality of RF transceivers (BTS1. BTS2), the plurality of wireless communications devices (MS) communicating with the RF transceivers using a wireless time division multiple access protocol (see figs 4 and 5), the wireless TDMA protocol including a switchover protocol in which the wireless communications devices connect to different RF transceivers of the plurality based on assessments of RF link conditions between individual wireless communications devise and individual RF transceivers. the wireless TDMA protocol thereby supporting the mobility of the wireless communications devise between the different regions of the building (column 5, lines 23-67), wherein at least one of the wireless communications devices maintains respective wireless connections with at least two different RF transceivers of the plurality of RF transceivers at all time, and transmits a set of identical data packets to the centralized computer via each of the at least two different RF transceivers simultaneously, and further wherein the at least one wireless communications device transmits the set of identical data packets to the at least two RF transceivers on different respective RF frequencies (column 5, lines 23-67; column 7, lines 19-45; lines 55-64). Malkamaki, further, discloses that the MS monitors the signal sent by nearest bas stations (BTS1, BTS2) and sends its respective monitoring results to the center (MSC). However, Malkamaki does not expressly disclose the centralized computer selects one of the set of corresponding data packets based upon error detection codes contained within the set of corresponding data packets.

Art Unit: 2619

Padovani et al. teaches a communication system using redundant data (identical data) delivery technology. Further, Padovani teaches that a selector element selects packets received from multiple base transceivers stations based upon frame quality (Abstract; column 8, lines 55-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Padovani et al. of selecting packets received from the different RF transceivers based upon error detection codes in the system of Malkamaki in order to provide a reliable communication system and to increase the accuracy of information being delivered.

Further, Malkamaki discloses that MS communicates simultaneously with two or more neighboring base station. Padovani, also, teaches that data transmitted from a cellular telephone subscriber unit is received by a set of base transceiver stations. However, Malkamaki and Padovani do not expressly disclose that MS communicates with two or more base station at all time.

Unger teaches a patient (or MS) monitoring system that monitors when patient (MS) moves throughout the building a series of antennas pick up the signals at all time from the patient's (see fig.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Unger of communicating with two or more transceivers at all time in the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani in order to provide a degree of redundancy in delivery of information or data within noisy and unpredictable communication environments.

Art Unit: 2619

Thus, the information or data arriving successfully is increased, even as the position of the MS (patient) and surrounding environmental conditions change (see Padovani, col. 1, lines 23-26).

Regarding claim 42, Malkamaki discloses the communications system, wherein the assessments of the RF link conditions are made by the wireless communications devices (column 5, lines 35-44; see fig. 7).

Regarding claim 57, Malkamaki discloses the communications system wherein the RF channels are frequency division multiplexed channels (column 2, lines 25-30).

Regarding claims 62 and 77, Malkamaki discloses the communications system wherein at least some of the wireless communications devices transmit digitized waveform data to the centralized computer (column 20, lines 30-36).

Regarding claim 70, Malkamaki discloses a communications system wherein each RF transceiver unit operates on one of multiple wireless channels and the wireless communications devices switch between the multiple wireless channels to switch between RF transceiver units (column 3, lines 15-21).

Regarding claim 72, Malkamaki discloses a communications system wherein each wireless communication device monitors the multiple wireless channels to make assessments of wireless link conditions offers by specific RF transceiver units and uses the assessments to select

Art Unit: 2619

RF transceiver units with which to establish wireless connections (column 5, lines 35-44; see fig. 7).

Regarding claim 75, Malkamaki discloses a communications system wherein each RF transceiver unit is capable of maintaining wireless connections with multiple wireless communications devices at a time (column 3, lines 45-56).

Regarding claims 46 and 76, Malkamaki in view of Padovani discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for transmitting real time electrocardiograph waveform data of patients to the wired computer network.

Unger teaches an ECG telemetry system incorporating a patient location system and a method of monitoring physiological status of the patient (column 3, lines 35-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Unger of transmitting real time electrocardiograph waveform data in the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani in order to monitor a vital signs and the location of the patient without requiring the patient to be provided with a separate receiving apparatus (column 2, lines 55-60).

Regarding claims 63 and 66, Malkamaki in view of Padovani discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for RF transceivers that are mounted to a ceiling of the building.

Art Unit: 2619

Unger teaches that RF transceivers are mounted to a ceiling of the building (column 5, lines 40-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Unger of mounting RF transceivers to a ceiling in to the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani in order to avoid extensive floor-level work and thereby cutting labor and cost significantly.

Regarding claims 64 and 78, Malkamaki in view of Padovani discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for an algorithm for tracking real time locations of wireless communications devices.

Unger teaches a vital sign monitoring and patient locating system (column 8, lines 51-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a system that uses an algorithm for tracking real time locations of wireless communications devises, such as that suggested by Unger, to the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani in order to enable a hospital staff to monitor patient's position at the time the patient is experiencing difficulty.

Claims 45 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Malkamaki et al. in view of Padovani et al. and Unger as applied to claims 41 and 65 above, and further in view of Edmon et al. (US 6.813.277 B2).

Art Unit: 2619

Malkamaki in view of Padovani discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for the timeslot availability messages.

Edmon teaches that individual stations receive downstream broadcast messages indicating the status of each upstream time slot (column 2. lines 34-44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Edmon of broadcasting the availability of timeslot to wireless communications devices in to the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani and Unger in order to provide an efficient and more economic use of channel by preventing interference between time slots.

 Claims 58, 68, 71, 79-81 and 83-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkamaki et al. in view of Padovani et al. and Unger as applied to claims 41 and 65 above, and further in view of Wallerius et al. (US 6,192,038 B1).

Regarding claims 58, 68, 71 and 79, Malkamaki in view of Padovani and Unger discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for a first and second RF transceivers being spaced apart and operate on the same RF channel to provide frequency reuse.

Wallerius teaches reuse of the same radio frequencies in designated co-user cells that are sufficiently separated by distance (column 2, lines 36-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Wallerius of reusing frequency in the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani and Unger in order to provide radio frequency communication to large numbers of users.

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claims 80 and 89, Malkamaki discloses the communications system wherein the RF channels are frequency division multiplexed channels (column 7, lines 15-18).

Regarding claim 81, Malkamaki discloses the communications system wherein the RF transceiver units communicate with the wireless communications devices according to wireless time division multiple access protocol (column 7, lines 3-4).

Regarding claim 83, Malkamaki discloses the communication system wherein each wireless communications device monitors the set of wireless channels to make assessments of wireless link conditions offered by specific RF transceiver units, and uses the assessments to select RF transceiver units with to which to establish wireless connection (column 7, lines 24-31; column 7, lines 45-53).

Regarding claims 84 and 85, Padovani et al. teaches that a selector element selects packets received from multiple base transceivers stations based upon frame quality (Abstract; column 8, lines 55-67).

Regarding claims 86 and 90, Malkamaki discloses a communications system wherein each RF transceiver unit is capable of maintaining wireless connections with multiple wireless communications devices at a time (column 3, lines 45-56).

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 87, Malkamaki in view of Padovani discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for transmitting real time electrocardiograph waveform data of patients to the wired computer network.

Unger teaches an ECG telemetry system incorporating a patient location system and a method of monitoring physiological status of the patient (column 3, lines 35-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Unger of transmitting real time electrocardiograph waveform data in the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani in order to monitor a vital signs and the location of the patient without requiring the patient to be provided with a separate receiving apparatus (column 2, lines 55-60).

Regarding claim 88, Malkamaki in view of Padovani discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for RF transceivers that are mounted to a ceiling of the building.

Unger teaches that RF transceivers are mounted to a ceiling of the building (column 5, lines 40-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Unger of mounting RF transceivers to a ceiling in to the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani in order to avoid extensive floor-level work and thereby cutting labor and cost significantly.

Art Unit: 2619

Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkamaki et al.
in view of Padovani et al. and Unger as applied to claim 41 above, and further in view of Abreu
et al. (US 5,754,956).

Malkamaki in view of Padovani and Unger discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for wireless communications devices that use their respective catalogs to select RF transceivers

Abreu teaches a radiotelephone handset receives a control channel from a plurality of base stations and stores all received control channel information in captured data buffer. The handset identifies as candidate suitable bas stations those base stations having acceptable received signal strength (see abstract; column 10, lines 1-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Abreu of maintaining a catalog to select RF transceivers in the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani and Unger in order to find the most suitable base station thereby minimizing battery depletion during the synchronization process.

 Claim 82 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkamaki in view of Padovani, Unger and Wallerius as applied to claim 79 above, and further in view of Edmon et al. (US 6,813,277 B2).

Malkamaki in view of Padovani, Unger and Wallerius discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for the timeslot availability messages.

Edmon teaches that individual stations receive downstream broadcast messages indicating the status of each upstream time slot (column 2, lines 34-44).

Art Unit: 2619

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Edmon of broadcasting the availability of timeslot to wireless communications devices in to the system of Malkamaki in view of Padovani, Unger and Wallerius in order to provide an efficient and more economic use of channel by preventing interference between time slots.

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 41, 42, 45, 46, 57-68 and 70-90 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Malkamaki and Padovani do not teach "the situation where a wireless communication device is in communication with two receivers at all times". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Malkamaki discloses that when traveling in the area of a network, mobile station maintains respective wireless connection with at least two base stations. Padovani, also, teaches a communication system using redundant data (identical data) delivery technology. Data transmitted from a cellular telephone subscriber unit is received by a set of base transceiver station. Further, Unger teaches a patient monitoring telemetry system employing a plurality of antennas located throughout and operating area and where a patient wears a transmitter capable of transmitting a patient signal continuously to different antennas (see fig. 1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SABA TSEGAYE whose telephone number is (571)272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

Art Unit: 2619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wing F Chan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619 3/28/08 Saba Tsegaye Examiner Art Unit 2619

/S. T./ March 27, 2008